

Record of Conference and Orders: Vera M. Scanlon, USMJDate: 2/9/2016Case: Bank v. Lifewatch, et al.

Civ. A. 15 CV 2278 (JG)(VMS)

ECF Recording in 504N:☒ Telephone Conference☐ In-person Conference

4:09 - 4:35

Counsel: (See separately docket entry or document for specific appearances)☒ Counsel for Plaintiff(s) ☐ Pro Se Plaintiff(s) ☒ Counsel for Defendant(s) ☐ Pro Se Defendant(s)Conference Type:☐ Initial Conference ☒ Status Conference ☐ Settlement Conference ☐ Motion Hearing ☐ Discovery Conference ☐ JPTO Conference ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_Further to the conference, discovery and other scheduling dates are as follows:

(If dates previously set by the Court are not reset, they remain as stated in the previous order.)

☐ Motions decided on the record☐ Rule 26(a) disclosures, incl. supplements☐ Document requests to be served☐ Interrogatories to be served☐ Amended pleadings, incl. joinder☐ Complaint ☐ Answer☐ Joint status letter ☐ Stip of dismissal to be filed☒ Status conference☐ In person ☒ Telephone (718) 613-2300☒ Specific depositions to be held☒ Fact discovery closes☒ Expert disclosures to be served☐ Initial expert report(s) to be served☐ Rebuttal expert report(s) to be served☐ Expert discovery closes☐ All discovery closes☐ Joint letter confirming discovery is concluded☐ Summary judgment to be initiated☐ Joint pre-trial order to be filed☐ Proposed confidentiality order to be filed☐ Consent to Magistrate Judge to be filed☐ Settlement Conference☐ To be served☐ To be filed☐ On consent ☐ By motion ☐ By PMC letterDate: 5/19/16 Time: 3:30 P.M.

To be organized by:

3/9/16 for TI6/9/166/9/16☐ PMC letter ☐ Briefing☐ Letter for conference ☐ Proposed JPTO

Date:

Time:

Vera M. Scanlon, USMJ  
Conference Orders, ContinuedCase: Bark v. Lifewatch Civ. A. 15-2278Date: 2/9/2016Additional Orders:

15cv2278 TT represented on the record that he is the TT and that he does not intend to request leave to be class counsel

15cv5708

15cv6928

Atty Primes indicated that he and his client just recently received notice of these 2 suits. They may wish to move to dismiss for lack of service. They may wish to confer w/ TT to determine if these actions should be consolidated etc. As to the default judgment motions, TT to oppose by 3/2/16, TT to reply by 3/11/16. By letter by 3/2/16, D counsel to inform the Court as to substantive approach (e.g. PMC, Answer, etc.).